

# A HISTORY OF ANTHROPOLOGY

Second Edition

Thomas Hylland Eriksen and Finn Sivert Nielsen



**PlutoPress**

[www.plutobooks.com](http://www.plutobooks.com)

# Contents

---

<i>Series Preface</i>	vii
<i>Preface to the Second Edition</i>	viii
<i>Preface to the First Edition</i>	ix
<b>1. Proto-Anthropology</b>	1
Herodotus and other Greeks 1; After Antiquity 3; The European conquests and their impact 6; Why all this is not quite anthropology yet 10; The Enlightenment 11; Romanticism 15	
<b>2. Victorians, Germans and a Frenchman</b>	20
Evolutionism and cultural history 21; Morgan 23; Marx 25; Bastian and the German tradition 27; Tylor and other Victorians 29; <i>The Golden Bough</i> and the Torres expedition 32; German diffusionism 35; The new sociology 38; Durkheim 39; Weber 41	
<b>3. Four Founding Fathers</b>	46
The founding fathers and their projects 49; Malinowski among the Trobriand Islanders 52; Radcliffe-Brown and the 'natural science of society' 55; Boas and historical particularism 58; Mauss and the total social prestation 61; Anthropology in 1930: parallels and divergences 64	
<b>4. Expansion and Institutionalisation</b>	68
A marginal discipline? 69; Oxford and the LSE, Columbia and Chicago 72; The Dakar-Djibouti expedition 74; Culture and personality 77; Cultural history 80; Ethnolinguistics 82; The Chicago school 83; 'Kinshipology' 86; Functionalism's last stand 90; Some British outsiders 92	
<b>5. Forms of Change</b>	96
Neo-evolutionism and cultural ecology 99; Formalism and substantivism 104; The Manchester school 107; Methodological individualists at Cambridge 112; Role analysis and system theory 117	
<b>6. The Power of Symbols</b>	120
From function to meaning 121; Ethnoscience and symbolic anthropology 125; Geertz and Schneider 127; Lévi-Strauss and structuralism 130; Early impact 133; The state of the art in 1968 135	

<b>7. Questioning Authority</b>	138
The return of Marx 139; Structural Marxism 141; The not-quite-Marxists 145; Political economy and the capitalist world system 147; Feminism and the birth of reflexive fieldwork 151; Ethnicity 155; Practice theory 158; The sociobiology debate and Samoa 161	
<b>8. The End of Modernism?</b>	166
The end of modernism? 171; The postcolonial world 176; A new departure or a return to Boas? 179; Other positions 184	
<b>9. Global Networks</b>	192
Towards an international anthropology? 194; Trends for the future 200; Biology and culture 203; Globalisation and the production of locality 211	
<i>Bibliography</i>	221
<i>Index</i>	239

# 1

## Proto-Anthropology

---

How long have anthropologists existed? Opinions are divided on this issue. The answer depends on what you mean by an anthropologist. People around the world have always been curious about their neighbours and more remote people. They have gossiped about them, fought them, married them and told stories about them. Some of their stories were written down. Some were later criticised as inaccurate or ethnocentric (or flatly racist). Some stories were compared with others, about other people, leading to general assumptions about ‘people elsewhere’, and what humans everywhere have in common. In this broad sense, we start an anthropological enquiry the moment a foreigner moves into the neighbouring flat.

If we restrict ourselves to anthropology as a scientific discipline, some would trace its roots back to the European Enlightenment during the eighteenth century; others would claim that anthropology did not arise as a science until the 1850s, others again would argue that anthropological research in its present-day sense only commenced after the First World War. Nor can we avoid such ambiguities.

It is beyond doubt, however, that anthropology, considered as the science of humanity, originated in the region we commonly refer to as ‘the West’, notably in four ‘Western’ countries: France, Britain, the USA and Germany. Historically speaking, this is a European discipline, and its practitioners, like those of all European sciences, occasionally like to trace its roots back to the ancient Greeks.

### HERODOTUS AND OTHER GREEKS

Thanks to research carried out by anthropologists, historians and archaeologists, we today believe that ‘the ancient Greeks’ differed quite radically from ourselves. In the classical city-states, more than half the population were slaves; free citizens regarded manual labour as degrading, and democracy (which was also ‘invented’ by the Greeks) was probably more similar to the competitive *potlatch* feasts of the Kwakiutl (Chapter 4), than to the institutions described in modern constitutions (Finley 1973; P. Anderson 1974).

Going back to the Greeks is thus a long journey, and we peer into their world through cracked and smoky glass. We catch glimpses of little city-states surrounded by traditional Iron Age farmland where family and kinship formed the main social units, connected to the outside world through a network of maritime trade relationships between urban settlements along the Mediterranean and Black Sea coasts. The trade in luxury goods and the free labour entailed by slavery brought considerable wealth to the cities, and the citizens of the *polis*, with their distaste for manual work, had at their disposal a large surplus, which they used, among other things, to wage war, and to build temples, stadiums, baths and other public buildings, where male citizens could meet and engage in philosophical disputes and speculations about how the world was put together.

It was in such a community that Herodotus of Halicarnassus (c. 484–425 BCE) lived. Born in a Greek colonial town on the south-west coast of present-day Turkey, Herodotus began to travel as a young man and gained personal knowledge of the many foreign peoples that the Greeks maintained contacts with. Today, Herodotus is mainly remembered for his history of the Persian Wars (Herodotus 1982), but he also wrote detailed travel narratives from various parts of western Asia and Egypt, and (based on second-hand information) from as far away as the land of the Scythians on the northern coast of the Black Sea, the Ethiopians, and the peoples of the Indus valley. In these narratives, far removed as they are from our present world, we recognise a problem that has pursued anthropology, in various guises, up to this day: how should we relate to ‘the Others’? Are they basically like ourselves, or basically different? Most, if not all, anthropological theory has tried to strike a balance between these positions, and this is what Herodotus did too. Sometimes he is a prejudiced and ethnocentric ‘civilised man’, who disdains everything foreign. At other times he acknowledges that different peoples have different values because they live under different circumstances, not because they are morally deficient. Herodotus’ descriptions of language, dress, political and judicial institutions, crafts and economics are highly readable today. Although he sometimes clearly got the facts wrong, he was a meticulous scholar, whose books are often the only written sources we have about peoples of a distant past.

Many Greeks tested their wits against a philosophical paradox that touches directly on the problem of how we should relate to ‘the Others’. This is the paradox of *universalism* versus *relativism*. A present-day universalist would try to identify commonalities and

similarities (or even universals) between different societies, while a relativist would emphasise the uniqueness and particularity of each society or culture. The Sophists of Athens are sometimes described as the first philosophical relativists in the European tradition (several almost contemporary thinkers in Asia, such as Gautama Buddha, Confucius and Lao-Tze, were concerned with similar questions). In Plato's (427–347 BCE) dialogues *Protagoras* and *Gorgias*, Socrates argues with the Sophists. We may picture them in dignified intellectual battle, surrounded by colourful temples and solemn public buildings, with their slaves scarcely visible in the shadows between the columns. Other citizens stand as spectators, while Socrates' faith in a universal reason, capable of ascertaining universal truths, is confronted by the relativist view that truth will always vary with experience and what we would today call culture.

Plato's dialogues do not deal directly with cultural differences. But they bear witness to the fact that cross-cultural encounters were part of everyday life in the city-states. The Greek trade routes stretched from the Straits of Gibraltar to present-day Ukraine, they fought wars with Persians and many other 'barbarians'. The very term 'barbarian' is Greek and means 'foreigner'. To a Greek ear it sounded as if these aliens were only able to make unintelligible noises, which sounded like 'bar-bar, bar-bar'. Similarly, in Russian, Germans are to this day called *nemtsy* (the mute ones): those who speak, but say nothing.

Aristotle (384–322 BCE) also indulged in sophisticated speculations about the nature of humanity. In his philosophical anthropology he discusses the differences between humans in general and animals, and concludes that although humans have several needs in common with animals, only man possesses reason, wisdom and morality. He also argued that humans are fundamentally social by nature. In anthropology and elsewhere, such a universalistic style of thought, which seeks to establish similarities rather than differences between groups of people, plays a prominent role to this day. Furthermore, it seems clear that anthropology has vacillated up through history between a universalistic and a relativistic stance, and that central figures in the discipline are also often said to lean either towards one position or the other.

## AFTER ANTIQUITY

In the classical Greek city-state, conditions were perhaps particularly favourable for the development of systematic science. But in the

ensuing centuries as well, 'civilised' activities such as art, science and philosophy were cultivated all around the Mediterranean: first, in the Hellenistic period, after the Macedonian, Alexander the Great (356–323 BCE) had led his armies to the northern reaches of India, spreading Greek urban culture wherever he went; then later, during the several centuries when Rome dominated most of Europe, the Middle East and North Africa, and impressed on its population a culture deriving from Greek ideals. In this complex, multinational society, it is not surprising to find that the Greek interest in 'the Other' was also carried on. Thus, the geographer Strabo (c. 63–64 BCE–c. CE 21) wrote several voluminous tomes about strange peoples and distant places, which sparkle with curiosity and the joy of discovery. But when Christianity was established as state religion and the Roman Empire started falling apart in the mid-fourth century CE, a fundamental change took place in European cultural life. Gone were the affluent citizens of the cities of Antiquity, who could indulge in science and philosophy, thanks to their income from trade and slave labour. Gone, indeed, was the entire city culture, the very glue that held the Roman Empire together as an (albeit loosely) integrated state. In its place, countless local European peoples manifested themselves, carriers of Germanic, Slavic, Finno-Ugric and Celtic traditions that were as ancient as those of pre-urban Greece. Politically, Europe fell apart into hundreds of chiefdoms, cities and autonomous local enclaves, which were only integrated into larger units with the growth of the modern state, from the sixteenth century onwards. Throughout this long period, what tied the continent together was largely the Church, the last lingering trustee of Roman universalism. Under the aegis of the Church, international networks of monks and clergymen arose and flourished, connecting the pockets of learning in which the philosophical and scientific traditions of Antiquity survived.

Europeans like to see themselves as linear descendants of Antiquity, but throughout the Middle Ages, Europe was an economic, political and scientific periphery. Following the rise of Islam in the seventh century, the Arabs conquered territories from Spain to India and, for at least the next seven centuries, the economic, political and intellectual centres of the Mediterranean world lay in sophisticated metropolises such as Baghdad and Cordoba, not in the ruins of Rome or Athens, nor in glorified villages such as London or Paris. The greatest historian and social philosopher of this period was Ibn Khaldun (1332–1406), who lived in present-day Tunisia. Khaldun wrote, among other things, a massive history of the Arabs and

Berbers, furnished with a long, critical introduction on his use of sources. He developed one of the first non-religious social theories, and anticipated Émile Durkheim's ideas about social solidarity (Chapter 2), which are today considered a cornerstone of sociology and anthropology. In line with Durkheim and the first anthropologists who utilised his theories, Khaldun stresses the importance of kinship and religion in creating and maintaining a sense of solidarity and mutual commitment among the members of a group. His theory of the difference between pastoral nomads and city-states may, with the wisdom of hindsight, be said to have been centuries ahead of its time.

A contemporary of Khaldun, Ibn Battuta (1304–1369), was in his way just as significant for the history of anthropology. Not a major social theorist, Battuta is considered to be the most widely travelled person of the pre-industrial world. Born in Tangier in present-day Morocco, Battuta's travels brought him as far east as China and as far south as present-day Tanzania. Battuta's main work, the *Rihla* ('Travels'), was completed in 1355. Although later scholars doubt the authenticity of some of the journeys described in the book, it is considered a major source of knowledge about the world known to the Arabs at the time, and of prevailing interpretations of other cultures.

In spite of the cultural hegemony of the Arab world, there are a few European writings from the late medieval period, which may be considered precursors of latter-day anthropology. Most famous is Marco Polo's (1254–1323) account of his expedition to China, where he allegedly spent 17 years. Another example is the great journey through Asia described in *The Voyage and Travels of Sir John Mandeville, Knight*, compiled by an unknown author in the fourteenth century. Both books stimulated the European interest in alien peoples and customs, although the reliability of their accounts must have been questioned already then (Launay 2010).

Then, with the advent of mercantilist economies and the contemporaneous Renaissance in the sciences and arts, the small, but rich European cities of the late Middle Ages began to develop rapidly, and the earliest signs of a capitalist class emerged. Fired by these great social movements and financed by the new entrepreneurs, a series of grand exploratory sea voyages were launched by European rulers. These journeys – to Africa, Asia and America – are often described in the West as the 'Age of Discovery', though the 'discovered' peoples themselves may have had reason to question their greatness (see Wolf 1982).

## THE EUROPEAN CONQUESTS AND THEIR IMPACT

The 'Age of Discovery' was of crucial importance for later developments in Europe and the world, and – on a lesser scale – for the development of anthropology. From the Portuguese King Henry the Navigator's exploration of the West coast of Africa in the early fifteenth century, via Columbus' five journeys to America (1492–1506), to Magellan's circumnavigation of the globe (1519–22), the travels of this period fed the imaginations of Europeans with vivid descriptions of places whose very existence they had been unaware of. These travelogues, moreover, reached wide audiences, since the printing press, invented in the mid-fifteenth century, soon made books a common and relatively inexpensive commodity all over Europe.

Many of the early travelogues from the New World were full of factual errors and saturated with Christian piety and cultural prejudices. A famous example is the work of the merchant and explorer Amerigo Vespucci, whose letters describing his voyages to the continent that still bears his name were widely circulated at the time. His writings were reprinted and translated, but his descriptions of the Native Americans (who were called *Indios*, Indians, since Columbus believed he had found a route to India), reveal a much less scrupulous attitude to facts than in Herodotus' or Khaldun's writings. Occasionally, Vespucci seems to use the Native Americans as a mere literary illustration, to underpin the statements he makes about his own society. Native Americans are, as a rule, represented as distorted or, frequently, inverted reflections of Europeans: they are godless, promiscuous, naked, have no authority or laws; they are even cannibals! Against this background, Vespucci argues effectively for the virtues of absolutist monarchy and papal power, but his ethnographic descriptions are virtually useless as clues to native life at the time of the Conquest.

There were contemporaries of Vespucci, such as the French Huguenot Jean de Léry and the Spanish clergyman Bartolomé de las Casas, who gave more truthful and even sympathetic accounts of Native American life, and such books also sold well. But then, the market for adventure stories from distant climes seems to have been insatiable in Europe at this time. In most of the books, a more or less explicit contrast is drawn between the Others (who are either 'noble savages' or 'barbarians') and the existing order in Europe (which is either challenged or defended). As we shall see in later chapters, the legacy of these early, morally ambiguous accounts still weighs

on contemporary anthropology, and to this day, anthropologists are often accused of distorting the reality of the peoples they write about – in the colonies, in the Third World, among ethnic minorities or in marginal areas. And, as in Vespucci's case, these descriptions are often denounced as telling us more about the anthropologist's own background than about the people under study.

The conquest of America contributed to a veritable revolution among European intellectuals. Not only did it provoke thought about cultural differences, it soon became clear that an entire continent had been discovered which was not even mentioned in the Bible! This potentially blasphemous insight stimulated the ongoing secularisation of European intellectual life, the liberation of science from the authority of the Church, and the relativisation of concepts of morality and personhood. As Todorov (1984) argues, the Native Americans struck at the very heart of the European idea of what it means to be human. The Native Americans were humans, but they did not behave in ways that Europeans considered 'natural' for human beings. What was then human? What was natural? During the Middle Ages, philosophers assumed that God had created the world once and for all and given its inhabitants their particular natures, which they had since retained. Now it was becoming possible to ask whether the Native Americans represented an *earlier stage* in the development of humanity. This in turn led to embryonic notions of progress and development, which heralded a radical break with the static worldview of the Middle Ages, and in the later history of anthropology, notions of development and progress have at times played an important role. But if progress is possible, it follows that progress is brought about by the activity of human beings, and this idea, that people shape their own destinies, is an even more enduring notion in anthropology.

Thus, when the Europeans examined themselves in the mirror held up by the Native Americans, they discovered themselves as free, modern individuals. Among the most striking expressions of this new-found, subjective freedom, are the *Essais* (1580) of the French philosopher Michel de Montaigne (1533–1592). With an open-mindedness and in a personal style that were unheard of at the time, Montaigne speculates about numerous issues large and small. Unlike nearly all his contemporaries, Montaigne, in his writings about remote peoples, appears as what we today would call a cultural relativist. In the essay 'Of Cannibals', he even concludes that if he had been born and raised in a cannibal tribe, he would in all likelihood himself have eaten human flesh. In the same essay,

Montaigne invoked *le bon sauvage*, ‘the noble savage’, an idea of the assumed inherent goodness of stateless peoples, which is another part of the common heritage of anthropology.

In the following centuries, the European societies expanded rapidly in scale and complexity, and intercultural encounters – through trade, warfare, missionary work, colonisation, migration and research – became increasingly common. At the same time, ‘the others’ became increasingly visible in European cultural life – from Shakespeare’s plays to Rameau’s librettos. Every major philosopher from Descartes to Nietzsche developed his own doctrine of human nature, his own philosophical anthropology, often basing it directly on current knowledge and beliefs about non-European peoples. But in most of these accounts ‘the others’ still play a passive role: the authors are rarely interested in their lifeways as such, but rather in their usefulness as rhetorical ammunition in European debates about Europe, or about ‘Man’, usually synonymous with a ‘Male European’.

A famous example was the great seventeenth-century philosophical debate between *rationalists* and *empiricists*. The former position was held by René Descartes (1596–1650), a Frenchman of many talents, who made substantial contributions to mathematics and anatomy, and is widely considered to be the founder of modern philosophy. Among anthropologists Descartes’ name is almost synonymous with the sharp distinction he supposedly drew between consciousness and spiritual life on the one hand, and the material world and the human body on the other. However, the clear-cut ‘Cartesian dualism’ that is often criticised by anthropologists is a caricature of Descartes’ thought. Descartes distinguished two kinds of substance: that of thought and mind, which had no spatial dimensions, and that of the spatially organised world. The latter could be partitioned up, measured and made subject to the laws of mathematics so its true properties might be revealed, the former could not. But by critical reasoning one could identify ideas that were axiomatically true.

The primary task of philosophy was to identify ideas that would form an unassailable basis for scientific knowledge of the external world. To achieve this, Descartes assumed an attitude of ‘radical methodological doubt’: any idea that may be doubted is uncertain, and thus an unsuitable foundation for science. Not many ideas survived Descartes’ acid test. His famous *cogito ergo sum* (‘I think, therefore I am’) expressed his primary certainty: I can be sure that I exist since I know that I think. Descartes’ philosophical system is derived from this axiomatic truth.

Descartes was not a social philosopher. Still, he was a child of his times. He asserted that the individual was the measure of all things. If God's existence can be proven, it must be on the basis of the individual's certain knowledge of himself. Even if God, through the inborn ideas, was the ultimate source of certain knowledge, it was the reason of individuals that separated true ideas from falsehood, applied true ideas on the world, and 'perfected' society 'from a semi-barbarous state ... to civilization' (Descartes 1637: part 2).

Descartes' belief in reason, typified in the clear and consistent laws of geometry, was shared by his opponents, the British empiricists. The empiricists also attempted to establish a foundation for certain knowledge, but Descartes' notion of axiomatic ideas was unacceptable for them. John Locke (1632–1704), the first great Empiricist philosopher (Chapter 6), claimed that the human mind was a blank slate, *tabula rasa*, at birth. Our ideas and values have their origin in our experiences, or 'sense impressions', as they were called. *Tabula rasa* is a much used and abused term. Locke did not claim that people were born with no abilities at all. One had an inborn intellect. When sense impressions put their mark on the blank slate, the intellect combined them with other sense impressions to form ideas about the world that became points of departure for abstraction and generalisation. Here Locke is laying the groundwork of a human science that combines a universalistic principle (we are all born the same) and a relativistic principle (our differing experiences make us different).

Locke was a political liberalist and a confirmed democrat, and his philosophical empiricism is related to his political argument for the idea of 'natural law' (*lex naturalis*). Like 'Cartesian dualism' the notion that all humans have certain inborn rights goes back to the Middle Ages, when it was argued that natural law was established by God. Locke claimed that natural law was not a gift from God or princes, but a defence of the individual's needs. Thus, Locke's argument explicitly contradicted that of the rationalists, but his basic anthropology was similar to theirs. As in Descartes, the individual was the measure of all things. This was a radical view in the seventeenth century. Even when it was used to justify the power of princes (as Thomas Hobbes did), it had revolutionary force. All over Europe, kings and princes were confronted by the demands of an increasingly restive and powerful liberal bourgeoisie: demands that the Ruler be bound by law to respect the rights of individuals to property, personal security and rational public debate.

As in Descartes, the ‘primitives’ are a minor concern within this larger argument. They remain a category of contrast. ‘Children, idiots, savages and illiterate people’ are ‘of all others the least corrupted by custom, or borrowed opinion’, writes Locke. But, he continues, if we consider their behaviour, we see that they are helpless, they have no inborn ideas to support them. Therefore they must be ‘improved’ (Locke 1690: §27).

The legacy of these seemingly distant philosophical debates is still, as we shall see later in this book, evident in anthropology today. An empiricist stamp rests on British anthropology, a rationalist stamp on French *ethnologie*. On German anthropology completely different influences came to bear.

### WHY ALL THIS IS NOT QUITE ANTHROPOLOGY YET

This brief review of the prehistory of anthropology has suggested that a number of issues that would later attain prominence in the discipline had been the subject of extensive debate since Antiquity. Exotic peoples had been described normatively (ethnocentrism) or descriptively (cultural relativism). The question had repeatedly been raised whether people everywhere and at all times are basically the same (universalism) or profoundly different (relativism). There had been attempts to define the difference between animals and humans, nature and culture, the inborn and the learned, the sensual body and the conscious mind. Many detailed descriptions of foreign peoples had been published; some were based on meticulous scholarship.

In spite of these continuities, we maintain that anthropology as a science only appeared at a later stage, though it is true that its birth was a more gradual process than is sometimes assumed. Our reasons for this are, first, that all the work mentioned so far belongs to one of two genres: travel writing or social philosophy. It is only when these aspects of anthropological enquiry are fused, that is, when data and theory are brought together, that anthropology appears. Second, we call attention to the fact that all the writers mentioned so far were influenced by their times and their society. This is of course true of modern anthropologists as well. But modern anthropologists live in a modern world, and we argue that anthropology makes no sense at all outside a modern context. The discipline is a product, not merely of a series of singular thoughts such as those we have mentioned above, but of wide-ranging changes in European culture and society, that in time would lead to the formation of

capitalism, individualism, secularised science, patriotic nationalism and cultural reflexivity.

On the one hand, then, certain topics have followed us throughout the time we have dealt with so far. On the other hand, from the fifteenth century onwards, a range of new ideas and new forms of social life have appeared, which will form the groundwork on which anthropology and the other social sciences will be built.

Two of these new ideas have been discussed above. First, we have seen that the encounter with 'the Other' stimulated European intellectuals to see society as an entity undergoing change and growth, from relatively simple, small-scale communities, to large, complex nations. But the idea of development or progress was not confined to notions of social change. The individual, too, could develop, through education and career, by refining his personality and finding his 'true self'. As the sociologist Bruno Latour (1991) points out, the idea of the autonomous individual was a prerequisite for the idea of society. Only when the free individual was established as 'the measure of all things' could the idea of society as an association of individuals put down roots and become an object of systematic reflection. And only when society had emerged as an object to be continuously 'improved' and reshaped into more 'advanced' forms could the independent, rational individual change into something new and different, and even 'truer to its nature'. And without an explicit discourse about these ideas, a subject such as anthropology could never arise. The seeds were sown in early modern philosophy, important advances were made in the eighteenth century, but it was only in the nineteenth century that anthropology became an academic discipline, and only in the twentieth century that it attained the form in which it is taught today. We shall now turn to the intellectual currents of the eighteenth and early nineteenth centuries, before recounting – in the next chapter – how the discipline of anthropology came of age.

## THE ENLIGHTENMENT

The eighteenth century saw a flowering of science and philosophy in Europe. The self-confidence of the bourgeoisie increased, citizens reflected on the world and their place in it, and would soon make political demands for a rational, just, predictable and transparent social order. The key word was *enlightenment* (*Aufklärung*, *lumières*), literally shedding light on matters that had so far been left in the dark. As Locke and Descartes had argued, the free individual

was to be the measure of all things – of knowledge and of the social order: the authority of God and princes was no longer taken for granted. But the new generations of intellectuals developed these ideas further. They met in informal clubs and salons to discuss art, philosophy and social issues. Private letters and diaries evolved into newspapers and novels, and although censorship was still common in most places in Europe, the new media soon gained greater freedom and wider circulation. The bourgeoisie sought to free itself from the power of Church and nobility, and to establish in their stead a secular democracy. Traditional religious beliefs were increasingly denounced as superstitions – roadblocks on the way to a better society, governed by reason. The idea of progress also seemed to be confirmed by the development of technology, which made important advances at this time. New technologies made scientific measurements more accurate. Industrial machinery made a hesitant debut. Descartes' purely theoretical attempt to prove the universal truth of mathematics was becoming a practical issue of incalculable relevance. For if mathematics, the language of reason, could reveal such fundamental natural truths as Newton's laws, did it not follow that nature was itself reasonable, and that any reason-driven enterprise was bound to succeed? All these expectations culminated in the French Revolution, which attempted to realise the dream of a perfectly rational social order in practice, but was quickly superseded by its irrational opposite: the revolution devoured its children. Then the dreams, the disappointments, the paradoxes of the Revolution spread during the Napoleonic Wars in the early 1800s to all of Europe, deeply influencing the ideas of society that later generations would develop.

But we are still in the eighteenth century, the 'Age of Reason', when the first attempts were made at creating an anthropological science. An important early work was Giambattista Vico's (1668–1744) *La scienza nuova* (1725; *The New Science*, 1999), a grand synthesis of ethnography, religious studies, philosophy and natural science. Vico proposed a universal scheme of social development, in which all societies passed through four phases, with particular, well-defined characteristics. The first stage was a 'bestial condition' without morality or art, followed by an 'Age of Gods', of nature worship and rudimentary social structures. Then came the 'Age of Heroes', with widespread social unrest due to great social inequality, and finally the 'Age of Man', when class differences disappeared and equality reigned. This epoch, however, was in its turn threatened by internal corruption and degeneration

to 'bestiality'. Here, for the first time, we see a theory of social development that not only contrasts barbarism and civilisation, but specifies a number of transitional stages. Vico's theory would become a model for later evolutionists from Karl Marx to James Frazer. But Vico has an element that many of his followers lacked. Societies do not necessarily develop linearly towards constantly improved conditions, but go through cycles of degeneration and growth. This gives Vico's Enlightenment work a critical and romantic subtext, as in Rousseau (see below).

Vico was an Italian pioneer, but it was in France that the first steps were taken towards the establishment of anthropology as a science. In 1748, Baron de Montesquieu (1689–1755) published his *De l'esprit des loix* (*The Spirit of Laws*, 1977). This is a comparative, cross-cultural study of legislative systems which Montesquieu had first- or second-hand knowledge of, and from which he attempts to derive the general principles that underlie legal systems cross-culturally. Montesquieu pictures the legal system as an aspect of the wider social system, intimately entwined with many other aspects of the larger whole (politics, economy, kinship, demography, religion, and so on) – a view that has led many to describe him as a proto-functionalists (Chapter 3). According to Montesquieu, polygamy, cannibalism, paganism, slavery and other barbarous customs could be explained by the functions they fulfilled within society as a whole. Montesquieu also wrote the remarkable *Lettres persanes* (1722; *Persian Letters*, 1973), a collection of fictitious letters from two Persians describing France to their countrymen. He here exploits the 'strangeness' of cultural difference to parody France at the time of Louis XIV. The book is thought-provoking. Even today it remains controversial, since Montesquieu has been accused of being a proto-Orientalist (Said 1978, 1993), who unduly emphasised the exoticism of the Persians. This critique is undoubtedly justified, and Montesquieu's primary aim is clearly not to describe Persia but to criticise France. But the Persian letters also reveal an understanding of a problem in contemporary anthropology that might be referred to as *homeblindness*: our inability to see our own culture 'objectively', 'from outside'. Montesquieu employed a particular technique to overcome this problem: he described his own society from the point of view of an outsider, a technique that is widely used in anthropology today.

Yet another step towards a science of anthropology was taken by a group of idealistic French intellectuals. These were the Encyclopaedists, led by the philosopher Denis Diderot (1713–1784) and

the mathematician Jean Le Rond d'Alembert (1717–1783). Their aim was to collect, classify and systematise as much knowledge as possible in order to further the advance of reason, progress, science and technology. Diderot's *Encyclopédie* was published in 1751–72, and included articles by illustrious intellectuals like Rousseau, Voltaire and Montesquieu. The encyclopaedia quickly established itself as a model for later projects of its kind. It was a liberal and wide-ranging, not to say a revolutionary work, which was censored in many parts of Europe for its criticism of the Church. But the 17 volumes of text and 11 volumes of illustrations also contained other controversial material, such as detailed descriptions of mechanical devices developed by ordinary farmers and craftsmen. The fact that such matters were taken seriously in an academic work was unheard of at the time, and hinted that it would soon be legitimate to study the everyday life of ordinary people. The encyclopaedia also contained detailed descriptions of culture and social customs all over the world. One of its youngest contributors, Marquis de Condorcet (1743–1794), who was to die prematurely in a Jacobin jail, wrote systematic comparisons between different social systems, and tried to develop a synthesis of mathematics and social science that would allow him to formulate objective laws of social development.

The most influential contributor to the *Encyclopédie* was undoubtedly Jean-Jacques Rousseau (1712–1778). Contrary to his French and British contemporaries (but not unlike Southern Europeans such as Vico), Rousseau argued that development was not progressive, but degenerative, and that the source of decline was society itself. Starting from an initial, innocent state of nature, where each individual lived by himself in harmony with his surroundings, people went on to found institutions of marriage and kinship, and settled in small, sedentary groups. Eventually, these groups grew in complexity, and invented priests and chiefs, kings and princes, private property, police and magistrates, until the free and good soul of man was crushed under the weight of society. All human vices were the product of society's increasing demands on the individual, particularly the increasing social inequality that development entailed. 'Man was born free, but is everywhere in chains', he declares in *Du contrat social* (1762; *On the Social Contract*, 1978). But the false social contract could be replaced by a true one, based on freedom and democracy, and this is where Rousseau's importance becomes evident. An individual, says Rousseau, is free if he follows a law he has set for himself, and society can freely follow a law that was collectively adopted. But society consists of many subjects,

each with his own will. The true social contract therefore implies a particular relationship of *exchange*: the individual gives up his natural rights in return for rights as a citizen of society, which give greater and longer-lasting freedom. But the individual, though good, is often stupid. Great leaders are therefore needed, to establish good judicial systems, if necessary by subterfuge or force. Here we see the inspiration of one of Rousseau's greatest influences, the Italian political philosopher Niccolò Machiavelli (1469–1527).

The paradoxical passage above about the relationship of individual to society is packed with insights that would have heavy influence on future events. Most clearly this is seen in Karl Marx (Chapter 2), who was inspired by Rousseau's ideas about inequality and property, human nature and alienation. Rousseau's ideas about the exchange relation underlying the true social contract inspired Claude Lévi-Strauss' theory of society as a product of exchange (Chapter 6). More generally, Rousseau's elevation of 'primitives' at the expense of Europe's corrupted civilisation was an important precursor of anthropological cultural relativism, although for Rousseau, as for so many others, the 'primitives' were primarily a mirror image of his own society, a viewpoint that hardly stimulated empirical investigations of real (primitive or modern) societies.

Most importantly, though, Rousseau was a mediator between the French-dominated Enlightenment and the predominantly German Romanticism that took over the leading position in European philosophy toward the end of the eighteenth century. Here, Rousseau's admiration for the original human being was further developed, the first theoretical concepts of *culture* were put forth, and the outlines of scientific anthropology start emerging.

## ROMANTICISM

While Enlightenment thinkers saw society as a law-bound association of reasoning citizens, Romanticism cultivated the creative, emotional individual, and the warm-blooded community of feeling – the nation. Romanticism is often said to displace the Enlightenment during the years of reaction after the French Revolution. But it may be more accurate, as Gellner (1991) suggests, to see the two movements as parallel flows, at times diverging or competing, at times intersecting and binding together. This is especially true of anthropology, which seeks not only to understand cultural wholes (a Romantic project), but also to dissect, analyse and compare them (an enterprise of the Enlightenment).

Romanticism spread everywhere in Europe, but its influence was greatest in Germany. In the eighteenth century, when France and England were strong, centralised states, Germany was little more than a diffuse linguistic area, embracing a medieval patchwork of independent principalities, free cities and multi-ethnic landscapes that it would take another hundred years to forge into a unified nation state. Germans had reason to speculate about what bound their nation together. The French could safely invoke the universality of human reason, as long as French fashion, language and nobility dominated the Western world and defined *what reason was*. One of the most popular German romantics even took a French pen-name: Jean Paul. Predictably, the politically fragmented, but culturally articulate Germans would at some point react to French domination.

1770 was a seminal year for this movement. It was when the young poet Johann Wolfgang von Goethe (1749–1832) – the soon-to-be spiritual father of the German nation – met the philosopher Johann Gottfried von Herder (1744–1803), who had recently made a major contribution to linguistics. Their meeting is said to be the spark that ignited the *Sturm und Drang* epoch of German cultural history, with its sharply reasoned philosophy and its worship of the poet's solitary yearnings and the people's deep and inscrutable fate. In 1784–91, Herder published his magnum opus, *Ideen zur Philosophie der Geschichte der Menschheit* (*Reflections on the Philosophy of the History of Mankind*, 1968), where he presents the ideas that had made him famous during the last 15 years as parts of a wider, continuous argument. He attacks French universalism as it was propounded, for example, by Voltaire, and argues that human experience is a totality that cannot be split into separate functions, such as reason, sense perception and emotion. Every people (*Volk*) shares a holistic, bodily experience, grounded in common history, common dependence on local natural environments and a national character (*Volksgeist*) that expressed itself through language, folklore and myths.

According to Herder, cosmopolitanism and cultural intermixture damaged the nation's moral integrity. This notion of *Volk* added fuel to the nationalist ideologies that swept like wildfire through nineteenth-century Europe. However, Herder is also considered the father of the anthropological concepts of culture and cultural relativism. During the many years he spent in Riga, he investigated Latvian folk traditions and poetry, and found a *Volksgeist* buried in them that was suppressed by (German-led) internationalism. It

is an important paradox that cultural relativism and nationalism both trace their origins back to Romanticism.

The greatest philosopher of the time was Immanuel Kant (1724–1804), whose contribution to European thought is too pervasive to fit into any philosophical school. Here we consider him a German Romanticist in order to highlight how his work was continued by the Romanticist Hegel. The Romantic element in Kant lies in his overcoming the split between sensual and rational knowledge. In his *Kritik der reinen Vernunft* (1781; *Critique of Pure Reason*, 1991) Kant argued that empiricism and rationalism were not opposed, but two sides of the same coin. Knowledge was both sensual and mathematical, objective and subjective. The problem was not a matter of choosing between extremes, but of demonstrating how they presuppose each other. After Kant's revolution, knowledge no longer consisted of mental images that reflected reality as it is in itself more or less adequately, but of mental *judgements* based on criteria that are subjective (they exist only in the mind), but also objective (they are universally present in every knowing mind).

We argue that these formulations made social science possible. We do not imply that Kant single-handedly laid the groundwork for the sciences of society. However, Kant established the preconditions for a species of social theory that has shaped anthropology deeply. A direct line leads from Kant, via Hegel, to Marx, Durkheim, Weber and the classical sociology that remains the core of anthropological theory to this day. Kant opened up a new field of intellectual endeavour by demonstrating that it was possible to produce scientific knowledge about society. In all the precursors of social science we have seen so far, we sense an underlying uncertainty about the very definition of the social. What kind of reality was society? What could we know about it? Some (with Vico) were attracted to the natural sciences, hoping to discover social laws similar to the laws of physics. Others (as Rousseau) saw their role as more artistic. Now Kant seemed to offer a third way. Knowledge is self-reflexive, the subject must be conscious of itself as a knowing subject in order to know the object. To study 'the world out there' is to study the encounter between the world and myself. Our meeting, gives the world a subjectively knowable form, that still is objective, since it derives from universal qualities inherent in understanding as such. As any anthropologist on fieldwork will tell you: to know the world is to contribute to its creation. Suddenly it seemed possible that those parts of the world that are not extended in space – Descartes' thought substance – could indeed be investigated scientifically. Still,

something hindered the direct application of Kant's insights to social science. This 'something' would be only be addressed by his successor, Georg Wilhelm Friedrich Hegel (1770–1831).

Kant's critical reasoning shook the foundations of Western thinking, and after his death there were many attempts to find loopholes in his logical construction and complete the revolution he started. Hegel's goal was to bring together Kant's idea of the universal preconditions of knowledge, and the particularistic orientation of Herder and the Romanticists. Kant's knowing subject existed outside context and history. It belonged to no concrete place or time. Hegel sought to reinstate it in the world by focusing on its 'spirit' (*Geist*) – a concept he developed in great and often cryptic detail in *Die Phänomenologie des Geistes* (1807; *Phenomenology of Spirit*, 2000).

Like Kant's knowledge, Hegel's spirit is self-reflexive: A subject can know another only by knowing itself as a knowing subject. Hegel adds intersubjectivity to this picture: A subject can only know itself when it is known by another knowing subject. 'Spirit' is the relationship between the knower and the known – two points with no independent existence, their only being is their relation (Habermas 1999).

From a social scientist's point of view, Kant's revolution was now complete. Knowledge of society is knowledge of 'spirit', of self-reflexive relations and patterns of relations. Hegel refers to this pattern as a whole, as the 'world spirit' (*Weltgeist*). It has its centres and peripheries, and changes in accordance with evolutionary laws. Later theoreticians have described it in various terms, as structure, function, solidarity, power, system, aggregate or discourse. Indeed, Hegel's far-ranging discussion of the dialectics of the world spirit's self-expression through history, was not only the first systemic description of sociality in motion, but the first systematic vision of a truly global humanity (Geana 1995).

But still, this is not social science. The communicative collective and the subjects participating in it are too abstract and lacking in context. Yet it is here we find the root of the idea of a socially constructed reality (Berger and Luckman 1966), which is our most important heritage from eighteenth-century European philosophy.

But this idea also had strong affinities with the nationalist movements that Herder had inspired, which had spread throughout Europe in the decades after 1800. Nations were precisely such socially constructed realities ('imagined communities', according to one modern authority) as Hegel had described, each with its

unique style and character. Ideally, the nation was a collectivity of the people, ruled by the people, in accordance with the people's deepest longings and needs. But although nationalism was inspired by Romantic philosophy, as a social movement it was a product of underlying historical processes: the political upheavals in the wake of the Napoleonic Wars, the sense of alienation brought about by industrialisation, the spread of revolutionary ideals of freedom, equality and brotherhood.

It was into this world of upheaval and transition that anthropology first emerged as an academic discipline. The first step was the establishment of the ethnographic museums. Collections of exotica had long existed at the European courts. One of the earliest, founded by Danish King Frederik III, dates back to 1650 and would later form the basis of the Danish National Museum. But systematic collection of ethnographica only started in the 1800s. Large national museums were established in London (1753), Paris (1801) and Washington, DC (1843), and these would all eventually develop influential ethnographic departments. Still, the first specialised ethnographic museums were established in German-speaking areas, notably Vienna (1806), Munich (1859) and Berlin (1868). This may seem surprising, as Germany and Austria had no colonial empires. Nevertheless, German academics had, in accordance with Herder's programme, begun to carry out empirical studies of the customs of 'the people'. They collected data on peasant life – folktales and legends, dress and dance, crafts and skills. The earliest museums were primarily concerned with *Volkskunde* (the study of peasant cultures at home) rather than *Völkerkunde* (the study of remote peoples). Thus, the institutionalisation of anthropology commenced in Germany, rather than in France or Britain – a fact that is often overlooked in accounts of the history of anthropology.

As the next chapter will show, German anthropology retained an important, in some respects a dominant, position throughout the nineteenth century, while in Britain a more peculiar 'Victorian' anthropology emerged.

# Index

---

*Compiled by Sue Carlton*

- Abu-Lughod, Lila 174, 179  
acculturation 108  
actor-network theory 204, 215–16, 217  
adaptation 56, 102, 103–4, 161, 186, 209–10  
Adorno, Theodor 140–1  
Africa 34, 68, 71–2, 89–90, 136  
  de- and re-tribalisation in 108–9, 155  
  structural Marxism 141–2, 144, 216  
  urbanisation 108, 156  
*African Political Systems* (Fortes and Evans-Pritchard) 87, 88, 89, 90, 111, 121  
agency, and structure 54, 159  
Alexander the Great 4  
alienation 15, 19, 40, 139  
alliance versus descent 106, 132, 134, 135, 165  
Althusser, Louis 133, 142–3, 159, 174  
American Anthropological Association (AAA) 66, 138, 194  
  and ethical issues 201, 210–11  
  opposition to Universal Declaration on Human Rights 97, 178  
American anthropology 31, 96, 97–9  
  European immigrants 73, 74  
  European influence 58, 72–3  
  founding father 47  
    *see also* Boas  
  and humanist disciplines 38–9  
  Marxist influence 97, 145–7  
  medical 189  
  new materialist school 99–104  
  postmodern 182–3  
  *see also* Berkeley; Chicago School; Chicago University; Columbia University  
Amin, Samir 148–9  
Anderson, Benedict 191  
anthropological associations 194  
anthropologists  
  academic relations among 65–6, 136–7  
  co-opted by US government 97  
  dominance of English language 136, 195, 199–200  
  involvement in aid projects 149, 187–8  
  Marx-inspired 145–7  
  and political radicalism 70–1  
  and re-analyses 164  
  subject–object relationship 171, 175, 181, 186, 205  
  women 70, 152, 153–4  
anthropology  
  and common language 48  
  development of discipline  
    origins 1–5, 10–11  
    Victorian era 29–33  
    1930s 64–7, 68, 69–77  
    post-war developments 96–119  
    1970s 138–9  
    1980s 166–71  
    recent trends 192–200  
    contemporary and future trends 200–3  
  diversity of discipline 135–6, 167, 192–3, 200, 219  
  and ethical issues 137, 149, 181, 199, 201, 210–11  
  expansion of discipline 135–6, 138, 193, 194  
  founding fathers 47–64, 65, 69  
  four-field approach 58–9  
  institutionalisation of 33, 35, 51, 57, 69, 72, 74, 76, 98  
  internationalisation of 98, 135–7, 138, 193, 194–200, 201–2  
  marginal position of 69–72

- anthropology *continued*  
 national traditions 33, 47–8, 65–7, 94–5, 99, 136, 195, 199  
*see also* American anthropology;  
 British anthropology; French anthropology; German anthropology  
 and natural sciences 22, 28, 51, 55, 169, 187, 190, 202, 204–5  
 and postcolonial perspective 177–9  
 practice theory 158–61  
 as scientific discipline 1, 10, 12–14, 15, 55  
 and sociology 21–3, 33, 47, 85, 127, 141–4, 149  
*see also* Marxism; structural Marxism  
 and specialisation 58–9, 77, 158, 193  
 apartheid (South Africa) 57–8, 175  
 Appadurai, Arjun 170, 212, 216, 218  
 Arab world 4–5  
 archaeology 35, 58, 59, 102  
 Archetti, Eduardo 151  
 archetypes, theory of 29  
 Ardener, Edwin 153–4, 167–8, 175, 178, 181, 191  
 Ardener, Shirley 153  
 Argentina 135, 150, 151  
 Aristotle 3  
 Arutyunyan, Yuliy V. 197  
 Asad, Talal 149, 164  
 Ashanti people (Gold Coast) 87, 89, 113  
 Association of Social Anthropologists (ASA) (Britain) 66, 98, 194  
 Atran, Scott 206–7  
 Augé, Marc 144, 216  
 Australia 39, 56–7, 170, 217  
 authority  
 ethnographic 175, 181  
 types of power 44  
 Azande people (Sudan) 87, 89  
 Bachofen, Johann Jakob 30  
 Bailey, Frederick 111, 167  
 Baining people (New Guinea) 93  
 Balandier, Georges 100, 136, 141–2  
 Baldus, Herbert 198  
 Bali 79, 94, 161, 168  
 Balibar, Étienne 142  
 Barnard, Alan 184  
 Barnes, John 109, 110, 114, 128  
 Barth, Fredrik 35, 97–8, 111, 114–15, 116, 125, 134  
 on ethnicity 156–7  
 fieldwork 168  
 on Malinowski 152  
 and postmodernism 174, 184  
 Weber's influence on 43  
 Barthes, Roland 133, 174  
 Baruya people (New Guinea) 143, 216  
 Bastian, Adolf 22, 27–9, 31  
 idea of 'psychic unity of mankind' 22, 28, 29, 31, 45, 96, 162, 208  
 influence on Boas 49, 59, 60  
 Museum für Völkerkunde 28, 35  
 Bataille, Georges 76  
 Bates, Daisy 56–7  
 Bateson, Gregory 74, 92–4, 121, 136, 160, 167  
 communication 85, 93, 146, 161  
 and cultural ecology 103  
 and cybernetics 118, 131  
 marriage to Mead 93  
 meaning based on difference 131, 157  
 photographic study from Bali 79  
 on Sapir-Whorf hypothesis 82  
 Baudrillard, Jean 216  
 belief 12, 33, 88, 120, 121, 122, 209  
 Bemba people (Northern Rhodesia) 113  
 Benedict, Ruth 66, 69, 70, 73, 124, 160, 174  
 culture and personality school 60, 77–80  
 and emotions 73, 93, 100  
 and national character 94, 99  
 Berkeley, University of California 60, 73, 81, 98, 155  
 Bhabha, Homi K. 177  
 biological determinism 162, 208  
 biology 158, 160, 187  
 and culture 203–11  
*see also* anthropology, and natural sciences; sociobiology  
 Birdwhistell, Raymond 85, 161  
 black-white relationship 176–7, 179  
*see also* Orientalism

- Bloch, Maurice 144, 170
- Boas, Franz 23, 58–61, 81, 99  
 anti-racism 49, 60, 70, 162, 183, 208  
 and cultural relativism 49, 60, 61, 70, 174, 219  
 death of 69, 74, 130  
 and diffusionism 37, 49, 65  
 distrust of generalisation 60, 66  
 as founder of American anthropology 29, 47–9, 66  
 four-field approach 58–9  
 German heritage 54, 65–6  
 and historical particularism 59–60, 99, 183  
 influence of 60–1, 69, 73, 77, 83, 84, 126, 129, 146  
 pacifism 70, 73  
 and Tylor's definition of culture 31, 126
- Boasian anthropology 82, 94, 97, 100, 120, 130, 146, 151, 180
- Boasians 77, 84, 101, 120, 149, 172, 176, 178
- bodily experience 16, 41, 122, 125, 207
- body language 79, 85, 161
- Bogoraz, Vladimir Germanovich 37
- Bourdieu, Pierre 89, 133, 159, 161, 168  
 concept of *habitus* 79, 159–60, 169, 202
- Bowen, Elenor Smith (Laura Bohannan) 151–2
- Brazil  
 Chagnon's research in 210–11  
 Schepher-Hughes work in 189
- Brazilian anthropology 130, 135, 150, 189, 195, 198–9
- Brazilian Association of Anthropology 194, 198, 210
- Briggs, Jean 154
- Britain  
 and Industrial Revolution 20  
 Victorian era 20, 29–32, 33, 46
- British anthropology 19, 31, 34–5, 58–9, 64, 92, 141–2, 167–8  
 Association of Social Anthropologists (ASA) 66, 98, 194  
 and colonialism 70–1  
 evolutionism and diffusionism 35, 36, 38  
 founding fathers 47–51, 57, 66  
*see also* Malinowski; Radcliffe-Brown  
 hermeneutics 185  
 influence of Durkheim 41, 48  
 interactionist anthropology 174  
 Marxist 144, 147  
 outsiders 92–3  
 social anthropology 34, 48–9, 54, 57, 58, 61, 69, 71, 72, 89, 100  
 two 'lineages' 54, 57, 65  
*see also* Cambridge University; London School of Economics (LSE); Manchester University; Oxford University
- Bromley, Yuliy 197
- Buddha, Gautama 3
- Burma 115
- Caillois, Roger 76
- Caldwell, Melissa 214
- Calloway, Helen 185
- Calvinism 42
- Cambridge University 72, 107, 113–16, 135, 168, 192  
 and East African Institute 110  
 and Torres expedition 34
- capital accumulation 148, 149
- capitalism 5, 25, 42, 106, 140, 143, 144  
 capitalist world system 147–51  
 and collapse of Soviet Union 166  
 and globalisation 214, 216  
 use value and exchange value 26
- cargo cults (Melanesia) 109
- Caribbean 98, 103, 135, 150, 155
- Casas, Bartolomé de las 6
- caste system 92, 111, 134
- Central and Eastern Europe 196
- Chagnon, Napoleon 210–11
- change 119, 120, 122–3, 124–5  
 cultural 10, 99, 103, 105, 186, 210, 217  
 historical 140, 151  
 social 10, 11, 43, 47, 50, 54, 72, 98, 100, 107–12, 156  
 technological and economic 31

- Chartist revolt (1840s) 20  
 Chayanov, Alexander 84, 142  
 Chicago school 83–6, 109, 117, 155, 215  
     Second Chicago School 85  
 Chicago University 72–3, 82  
 child-rearing practices 78, 80  
 Christianity 4, 6  
*cinéma vérité* 75–6  
 class struggle 21, 26  
 classification 39, 62, 85, 124–5, 126, 134, 207, 209  
 Clifford, James 179, 181, 182, 183  
 cognitive anthropology 83, 126, 161, 206, 208  
 cognitive science 126, 192, 205–8  
 Cohen, Abner 156  
 Cohen, A.P. 158, 170  
 collective representations 39, 40–1, 55, 206  
 Collège de Sociologie 76  
 Colombia 76–7, 135  
 colonialism 21, 147, 148, 167  
     administrators 21, 32, 71, 111  
     criticised by anthropologists 71, 149  
     and de-tribalisation 108  
     Manchester school 109–10, 215  
     ‘othering’ effect of 171, 177  
     Portuguese 146  
 Colson, Elizabeth 109  
 Columbia University 49, 70, 73, 74, 101  
     Research in Contemporary Cultures Project 78–9  
 Comaroff, John and Jean 214, 215, 218  
 communication 85, 94, 117, 131, 194  
     across ethnic boundaries 157  
     cultural transmission 209  
     and exchange 99  
     metacommunication 118  
     non-verbal 161  
     ritual 123  
     symbolic 98  
     use of interpreters 52  
 Communism 26, 38, 140  
*Companion Encyclopedia of Anthropology* (Ingold) 184  
 comparative method 30, 65, 81, 92  
 componential analysis 126  
 Condorcet, Marquis de 14  
 Confucius 3  
 Conklin, Harold 126  
 context 53, 65, 71, 105, 111, 122  
     ‘context-dependent’ language 153  
     contextualisation 129, 181, 201–2  
     and globalisation 215  
     in medical anthropology 188, 189  
 contradiction 26, 111, 139–40, 143  
 Cosmides, Leda 209  
 crisis (critical event) 111–12, 123, 124, 139  
 cultural anthropology 58, 59, 61, 92, 94, 96, 100–1, 104  
*Cultural Anthropology* (journal) 180, 181, 185  
 cultural differences 3, 7, 13, 28, 58  
 cultural ecology 99–104, 129, 146, 147  
 cultural evolution 24, 33  
 cultural history 31, 42, 65, 67, 80–2, 140  
     German 16, 22, 27, 28, 29, 47, 51, 197  
     native American 59  
 cultural hybridity 215  
 cultural imperialism 97  
 cultural materialism 24, 103, 147, 161, 167  
 cultural pluralism 217  
 cultural relativism 97, 140, 149, 162, 163, 178, 218  
     Boas 49, 60, 70, 174  
     origins 7, 10, 15, 16–17  
     and postmodernism 172, 174  
 culture and personality tradition 60, 77–80, 101, 126, 155  
 culture-circle (*Kulturkreis*) school 36–7, 49  
 culture(s) 81–2, 99–100, 185, 202  
     authentic cultures 85, 86, 149, 150, 157, 217  
     and biology 203–11  
     definitions of 31, 58, 80, 126, 127, 136, 215  
     as ecological adaptation 104, 146, 161, 163  
     and ethnicity 156, 157  
     ethos 119  
     as global term 193–4  
     and kinship 128–9, 131

- and language 82–3
- and peasant societies 84–5
- reification/commodification of 81, 141
- the superorganic 81
- symbolic 85, 120, 124, 127–8, 145, 160
- theoretical concepts of 15, 16, 56
- cybernetics 94, 103–4, 114, 118, 126, 131, 157, 204
- Dakar-Djibouti expedition (1931–33) 74–6
- d'Alembert, Jean Le Rond 14
- DaMatta, Roberto 199
- Darwin, Charles 21, 27, 30, 31
- Darwinism 22, 30, 47, 207, 208
- data 39, 47, 51
  - comparative 86
  - processing 126
  - secondary 24
  - and theory 10, 21, 36, 49, 80, 128
  - and traits 37
- data collection 32, 33, 34, 53, 152
- audiovisual techniques 75
  - see also* fieldwork
- Dawkins, Richard 163
- de-tribalisation 108–9, 155, 199
- decolonisation 97, 136
- deconstructionism 64, 141, 174–5, 188, 216
- defamiliarisation 180
- Deloria, Vine 176
- dependency studies 38
- dependency theory 148–9
- Derrida, Jacques 141, 168, 173, 174–5, 176, 183
- Descartes, René 8–10, 11–12, 17
- descent theory *see* alliance versus descent
- development 149–50, 151
  - aid projects 149, 187–8
- DeVos, George 155
- Diamond, Stanley 101, 139
- Diderot, Denis 13–14
- Dieterlen, Germaine 75
- diffusionism 29, 33, 35–8, 44, 47, 64, 67, 196
  - Lowie and 99
  - objections to 51, 56, 65
- Dinka people (Sudan) 122
- discipline, concept of 160
- discourse (Foucault's use of term) 173–4
- discursive objects 173–4, 217
- division of labour 40, 55, 101
- Dogon people (Mali) 75
- Douglas, Mary 122, 124–5, 135, 167
- doxa, and opinion 160
- Dreger, Alice 211
- Dumont, Louis 64, 134, 136, 143, 168, 170
- Dunn, Stephen P. 197
- Durkheim, Émile 38, 39–41, 60, 129, 132
  - concept of society 100, 112, 116
  - death of 51, 63
  - influence on British anthropology 38, 48, 112
  - influence in France 39, 41, 44, 61, 62–3, 129
  - influence on Radcliffe-Brown 50, 55–6
  - religion and ritual 41, 61, 112, 120, 123
  - social integration 123, 124, 170
  - social solidarity 5, 40–1
  - and structural-functionalism 54, 110
- East African Institute of Social Research (Makerere) 110, 114
- ecological anthropology 107, 135
- ecological determinism 146
- ecology 100, 102
  - human 144
  - see also* cultural ecology
- economic anthropology 91, 104–6, 114, 139, 187, 188, 202–3
  - formalist 105, 117, 142, 145
  - and value transformation 216
- economy 105–6
  - informal sector 187–8
- Eggan, Fred 73
- eidos 93, 121
- Einstein, Albert 46
- Elementargedanken* (elementary ideas) 28, 29, 207
- Elias, Norbert 159
- Eliot, T.S. 33

- emotions 73, 77, 78, 93, 125, 154  
 collective 79  
 and language 54, 207
- empiricism/empiricists 8, 9, 10, 17, 142
- Encyclopaedists 13–15
- Engels, Friedrich 25, 140, 144
- Enlightenment 1, 11–15, 68
- Epstein, Arnold 109, 158
- ethnicity 84, 100, 109, 170, 197
- ethnicity studies 84, 137, 139, 155–8, 174, 214
- ethnocentrism 1, 2, 10, 31, 67, 106
- ethnographic museums 19, 22, 28, 35, 74
- ethnography 192, 196, 197, 216  
 comparative 143  
*see also* fieldwork
- ethnolinguistics 60, 73, 82–3, 94
- ethnology *see* social anthropology
- ethnopsychology 28, 55, 77, 206
- ethnoscience 126, 206
- ethos 78–9, 85, 93, 119, 160
- eugenics 162, 163, 208
- Europe  
 during middle ages 4–5  
 emigration 21  
 exploration and conquests 5, 6–7  
 Industrial Revolution 20  
 revolutions (1848–49) 20, 25  
 Victorian era 20–1
- European Association of Social Anthropologists (EASA) 194, 195–6, 199
- European Union 187
- European Universities (St Petersburg and Budapest) 197, 213
- Evans-Pritchard, E.E. 57, 87–90, 92, 121–3, 185  
 admiration for Mauss 64  
 criticism of Mead 80  
 military service 68  
 structural-functionalism 87, 98, 107, 112, 121, 128  
 study of witchcraft 33, 88, 120, 175  
 work in Sudan 72, 88–90, 114, 115
- evolution  
 driven by dialectics 26  
 multilinear 99, 102  
 sociocultural 209  
 unilinear 99, 101, 146  
*see also* cultural evolution;  
 evolutionism; social evolution
- evolutionary anthropology 209, 211
- evolutionary biology 161, 206
- evolutionary psychology 192, 209–10
- evolutionism 21–3, 27, 29, 30–1, 33–4, 41, 100  
 comparative 33, 51, 81, 163  
 and conjectural history 56  
 continuing influence of 44, 48, 67, 140  
 demise of 35–6, 37, 38, 44, 48  
 Marxian 196  
 materialist 81, 101, 103
- exchange 15, 61–4, 106, 134, 200, 202  
 as communication 99  
 and gender 170, 185  
 gift 53, 63–4, 78, 106, 131  
 informal sector 187–8  
 market 106  
 marriage 132  
*see also* transactions
- exoticism 13, 171, 176  
*see also* Orientalism
- experimental ethnographies 180
- extended case method 109
- Fabian, Johannes 178, 179
- Fanon, Frantz 176, 177
- Fardon, Richard 179
- Featherstone, Mike 212
- feedback 114, 118, 146
- Feld, Steven 169, 170
- feminism 137, 138–9, 151–5, 158, 161  
 impact on anthropology 154–5  
 Mead's influence on/American 70, 79, 163  
 and postmodernism 170, 172, 185–6  
 and theory of patriarchy 30
- Ferguson, James 179, 214
- fieldwork 31–2, 33–5, 50, 51–4, 56–8, 70, 140, 181  
 collaborative 59  
 and ethics 137  
 funding for 71  
 and gender 151–4  
 interdisciplinary teamwork 75  
 long-term 75, 76

- Manchester school methodology  
109  
participant observation 52, 79, 152,  
200  
photographic 94  
self-reflexive 152–3, 154, 171  
*see also* data collection
- film 34, 75–6
- First World War, impact on  
anthropology 47, 51, 62–3
- Firth, Raymond 57, 72, 91–2, 107,  
112–13, 117  
cooperation with Schneider 128,  
136  
and formalism 105, 106  
relationship with Malinowski 87
- Fischer, Michael 179, 180
- Forde, Daryll 89, 90, 92, 100, 107,  
121, 147
- formalism 104–6, 117, 135, 142, 145,  
157
- Fortes, Meyer 31, 57, 86–7, 90, 107,  
113, 116  
support for Gluckman 119  
working with Evans-Pritchard 88, 89
- Fortune, Reo 91, 93
- Foucault, Michel  
and discourse 173, 183  
influence of 174, 180, 203  
post-structuralism 141, 171, 174  
and power 160, 173–4  
structuralism 133, 168, 174
- Frake, Charles 126
- Frank, Andre Gunder 148–9
- Frankenberg, Ronald 188
- Frankfurt school 140–1
- Frazer, James George 13, 33–4, 53, 67,  
72, 120
- Frederik III, King of Denmark 19
- Freeman, Derek 163–4
- French anthropology 66–7, 74–7, 139,  
168  
Encyclopaedists 13–15  
founding father 47  
*see also* Mauss  
influence of 198  
Marxist 141–2
- French Revolution 12, 15, 20
- Freud, Sigmund 33, 35, 44, 46, 111
- Freyre, Gilberto 198
- Fried, Morton 101
- Friedman, Jonathan 147, 164, 212
- Frobenius, Leo 36  
functionalism 54, 66, 90–1, 93–4, 100,  
107, 142  
*see also* Malinowski; structural-  
functionalism
- Galtung, Johan 148
- Geertz, Clifford 82, 103, 167, 173,  
218–19  
influences on 129  
interpretive method 35, 129–30  
and postmodernism 174, 181–2  
and symbolic anthropology 120,  
127–8, 167
- Gellner, Ernest 15, 168, 182, 191
- gender 137, 139, 170, 174, 185  
gender roles 79, 163  
*see also* feminism
- Gennep, Arnold van 61, 123
- German Anthropological Association  
195
- German anthropology 22, 27–9, 47–8  
diffusionism 35–8, 67  
influence of 198
- Germany, Romanticism 16–19
- Ghana 87, 113  
*see also* Gold Coast
- Giddens, Anthony 54, 159, 212, 218  
gift exchange 53, 63–4, 78, 106, 131  
globalisation 211–19  
definition of 215  
and locality 215–18  
globalisation studies 38, 150, 157,  
202, 203, 213, 214–19
- glocalisation 215
- Gluckman, Max 72, 115, 156, 167  
influence of Marx 139, 140, 142  
influence on Turner 123, 124  
and Malinowski 57, 111  
and Manchester school 98, 107,  
108, 109–12  
and RLI 109  
work among Zulu 89
- Godelier, Maurice 143–4, 151, 216
- Goethe, Johann Wolfgang von 16, 27
- Goffman, Erving 85, 117–18, 173
- Gold Coast 87  
*see also* Ghana

- Golde, Peggy 152, 153  
*The Golden Bough* (Frazer) 33–4, 50, 120  
 Goldenweiser, Alexander 70, 73, 78  
 Goodenough, Ward 126  
 Goody, Jack 71, 72, 113, 114, 116, 168  
     literacy 116, 121  
 Gould, Stephen Jay 162  
 Graebner, Fritz 36, 37, 49, 67  
 Gramsci, Antonio 140, 180, 203  
 Greeks, ancient 1–3  
 Griaule, Marcel 69, 74–6  
 Grimm, Jacob and Wilhelm 27  
 Gupta, Akhil 179  
 Guro people (Ivory Coast) 141
- Habermas, Jürgen 173  
*habitus* 79, 159–60, 169, 202  
 Haddon, Alfred C. 34, 50, 72  
 Hagen people (New Guinea) 170  
 Halbwachs, Maurice 62–3  
 Handler, Richard 179  
 Hann, Chris 213  
 Hannerz, Ulf 212, 214, 215, 216  
 Harris, Marvin 101, 103, 146–7, 162, 167, 182  
 Hart, Keith 46, 187–8  
 Hastrup, Kirsten 183  
 Hausa merchants (Nigeria) 156  
 Hegel, G.W.F. 17, 18–19, 22, 25–6, 41, 140  
 Heidegger, Martin 186  
 Henry the Navigator (of Portugal) 6  
 Herder, Johann Gottfried von 16, 18–19, 27, 28, 49, 54, 173  
 hermeneutics 27, 42–3, 129, 181, 185  
     *see also* interpretive anthropology  
 Herodotus of Halicarnassus 2, 212  
 Herskovits, Melville 60, 73, 97, 106  
 Hertz, Robert 62  
 Hinduism 146  
 historical particularism 59–60, 99, 183  
 Hitler, Adolf 68  
 Hobbes, Thomas 9  
 Hobsbawm, Eric 191  
 Hocart, A.M. 92  
 Holland, Dorothy 207  
 Holmes, Lowell 164  
 Holy, Ladislav 183
- Hopi language 82  
 Horkheimer, Max 141  
 household-based production 142  
 Hubert, Henri 62  
*Human Relations Area Files* (HRAF) 74  
 humanity, nature of 3, 7, 10, 58, 162, 203  
 Humboldt, Alexander and Wilhelm von 27  
 Hunt, George 59  
 Husserl, Edmund 47, 186  
 hybridity/hybrid cultures 85, 86, 166, 215, 217
- Iatmul people (New Guinea) 93  
 Ibn Battuta 5  
 Ibn Khaldun 4–5, 6, 212  
 identity politics 191, 218  
 ideology 139, 172, 173, 205  
     and analysis 182  
     and power/domination 26, 31, 140, 143  
 Ilongot people (Philippines) 154  
 imperialism studies 38, 148–9  
 Inden, Ronald 178  
 India 35, 111, 178  
 Indian anthropology 58, 194, 195  
 individualism  
     methodological 43, 44, 54, 60, 91–2, 105, 112–16  
     in theoretical analysis 64  
 Indo-European languages 27, 35  
 Industrial Revolution 20  
 infrastructure–superstructure relationship 26, 139, 143, 144, 146  
 Ingold, Tim 163, 186–7  
 Institute of Ethnology (Paris) 51, 62, 74  
 interactionist anthropology 119, 174, 182  
 intercultural translation 62, 76  
 interdisciplinarity 81, 127, 219  
     in cognitive science 126, 205  
     in fieldwork 74, 75, 84  
 interpretive anthropology 98, 103, 135, 174  
     *see also* hermeneutics  
 Inuit 49, 59, 154

- Ireland, Great Famine (1845–52) 20
- Iron Curtain 136–7  
 fall of 196, 213–14
- Iroquois 23–4
- Islam, rise of 4
- Jakobson, Roman 130, 131
- Jochelson, Vladimir Ilich 37
- Johnson, Mark 207
- Josselin de Jong, J.P.B. 133
- Jung, Carl 29
- Kachin people (Burma) 115, 133, 147
- Kahn, Joel 144–5
- Kanak people (New Caledonia) 76
- Kant, Immanuel 17–18, 22, 27, 41
- Kapferer, Bruce 110, 170, 191
- Kardiner, Abraham 80
- Keesing, Roger 184–5
- kinship 128–32, 136, 142  
 alliance versus descent 89, 90, 134, 165  
 domestic 90  
 genetic 162  
 Lévi-Strauss' theory of 74, 98, 130, 131, 134  
 Morgan's work on 23–4, 26, 27, 45  
 and nationalism 191  
 Schneider and 128–9, 136, 167, 183  
 and social organisation 14, 32, 56, 142, 143, 144  
 and solidarity 5, 163, 209  
 surviving change 108–9
- kinship systems 23–4, 56, 90, 94, 130–1  
 non-unilinear 13
- kinshipology 57, 86–90, 112
- Kleinman, Arthur 189–90
- Kluckhohn, Clyde 126, 127, 136
- knowledge 9, 12, 177, 180, 185, 205–6  
 anthropological 48, 187, 200  
 and bodily experience 207  
 doxa and opinion 160  
 and fact 204  
 and power 172–4  
 scientific 8  
 as social product 88  
 subjectivity and objectivity 17–18, 122, 152
- knowledge systems 39, 126
- Kroeber, Alfred L. 60, 73, 80–2, 126, 127  
 and definitions of culture 136  
 and neo-evolutionism 99  
 retirement 98
- kula* trade 53, 61, 63
- Kuper, Adam 11, 69, 71, 111, 195–6
- Kwakiutl (Native American tribe) 59, 78  
*potlatch* feast 1, 78
- labour movement 21, 25
- Lakoff, George 207
- Lamphere, Louise 153, 158
- language  
 and concept formation 207  
 'context-dependent' 153  
 and culture 82–3  
 dominance of English in  
 anthropology 136, 195, 199–200  
 local language in fieldwork 52, 56  
 Mauss' study of 62  
*see also* linguistics
- Lao-Tze 3
- Lash, Scott 212
- Latin America 98, 103  
 anthropological institutions 76–7  
 peasant societies 73, 84, 135, 151
- Latour, Bruno 11, 204–5, 215
- Layton, Robert 184
- Leach, Edmund 57, 72, 91, 107, 113, 114–16, 133  
 interest in symbolism 116, 123  
 and Lévi-Strauss' structuralism 133, 134  
 retirement 168  
 ritual 106
- Leacock, Eleanor 101
- Leenhardt, Maurice 76
- legal systems 13, 29, 32
- Leiris, Michel 69, 76
- Lenin, Vladimir Illyich 38, 148
- Léry, Jean de 6
- Lévi-Strauss, Claude 15, 29, 83, 139, 142–3, 150, 157  
 and Brazilian anthropology 198  
 concept of freedom 97  
 criticism of 174  
 influence on Leach 133, 134, 168

- Lévi-Strauss, Claude *continued*  
 and Second World War 74, 136  
 and semiotics 99  
 on sociobiology 163  
 and structuralism 120, 126, 130–4,  
 136, 144  
 study of kinship 74, 98, 130–4
- Lévy-Bruhl, Lucien 51, 61–2, 74, 76, 82
- Lewis, Oscar 85
- Lewontin, Richard 162
- Lienhardt, Godfrey 122
- liminality 123, 124, 125
- linguistics 16, 27, 29, 35, 51, 58, 126,  
 153  
 structural 130–1  
*see also* ethnolinguistics; language;  
 semiotics
- Linton, Ralph 73, 77, 80, 117
- Llobera, Josip 144–5
- Lock, Margaret 189
- Locke, John 9–10, 11–12, 206
- logic of practice 89
- London School of Economics (LSE) 34,  
 50, 57, 72, 90–1, 107, 112
- Londoño Sulkin, Carlos 217
- Lowie, Robert H. 60, 73, 81, 99, 101,  
 130
- Luo people (Africa) 156
- Lyotard, Jean-François 172, 173
- Machiavelli, Niccolò 15, 43
- Magellan, Ferdinand 6
- Maine, Henry 29–30, 32, 40, 44, 45,  
 56
- Malinowski, Bronislaw Kasper 29,  
 65–6  
 anti-racism 208  
 at Yale 74  
 death of 68, 74  
 as founder of British anthropology  
 47–50  
 and Freud's psychology 34  
 and the individual 112  
 influence of 86–7  
 rivalry with Radcliffe-Brown 51–2,  
 54, 57  
 training for colonial administrators  
 71  
 on Trobriand Islands 50, 52–4, 70,  
 87, 109, 152, 200
- 'Man the Hunter' symposium 104,  
 135, 145
- Manchester School 107–12, 155, 156,  
 215  
*see also* Rhodes-Livingstone  
 Institute
- Manchester University 110
- Mandeville, Sir John 5
- Maori (New Zealand) 91, 104, 112,  
 217
- Marco Polo 5
- Marcus, George 179, 180, 183, 217
- marriage 14, 56, 61, 115, 131–2
- Marx, Karl 15, 21, 25–7, 31  
 concept of alienation 40  
 concept of power 43, 44  
 concept of society 44  
 definition of infrastructure 101–2  
 dialectics 111  
 influence of 38, 139, 145–7, 170,  
 203  
 modes of production 144  
 rediscovery of 67, 119
- Marxism 106, 137, 138–51, 170, 172  
 class analysis 26  
 cultural 140, 180  
 return of 139–41  
 sensual 135–6  
 structural 141–5, 147, 151, 170,  
 216
- Marxist anthropology 140–1, 143,  
 144, 145–7, 161
- materialism 67, 73, 74, 76, 99–104,  
 145  
 cultural 24, 103, 146–7, 161, 162,  
 167  
 and idealism 27, 64  
 matrilineal systems 123
- Mauss, Marcel 29, 51, 61–4, 65–6,  
 106, 203  
 collaboration with Durkheim 39, 51  
 as founder of French anthropology  
 47, 48, 74  
 influence on Bourdieu 159  
 rediscovered 200  
 retirement 68  
 theory of reciprocity 142
- Max Planck Institute for Social  
 Anthropology (Germany) 213
- maximisation 105, 106, 115, 142, 208

- Mead, Margaret 60–1, 69, 70, 73, 85  
 criticism of 80, 163–4  
 and culture and personality school  
 77, 78–80  
 friendship with Lévi-Strauss 130  
 marriage to Bateson 93  
 work in Samoa 163–4  
 meaning 120, 121–34, 157  
*see also* symbols/symbolism  
 medical anthropology 114, 158,  
 188–90  
 Mediterranean world 4–5  
 Meillassoux, Claude 141–2, 143, 144,  
 151  
 Melanesia 35, 71, 76, 103, 184–6  
 cargo cults 109  
 identity politics in 218  
*see also* New Guinea; Tikopians;  
 Trobriand Islands  
 mercantilist economies 5  
 Merleau-Ponty, Maurice 186  
 Métraux, Alfred 76, 101, 130  
 Mexico 50, 76, 84, 135, 150, 194  
 Mikloukho-Maklay, Nicolay  
 Nicolayevich 31–2, 37  
 Mintz, Sidney 101, 150, 151, 178–9  
 Mitchell, James 109, 156  
 models 48, 66, 91, 103, 114, 124  
 abstract 134  
 actor-network 217  
 complex 204, 206  
 cybernetic 94  
 formal 158, 169, 174  
 and gender 153  
 and reality 115–16  
 universalist 140  
 modernism 191  
 end of 171–5, 219  
 modernity 21, 38, 175, 190, 214–15,  
 217, 218–19  
 ‘modern–traditional’ dichotomies 201  
 Montagu, Ashley 96–7  
 Montaigne, Michel de 7–8  
 Montesquieu, Baron de 13, 14  
 Moore, Henrietta 170, 192  
 Moore, Jerry 100  
 Morgan, Lewis Henry 23–5, 67, 97, 99  
 rediscovery of 61, 119  
 work on kinship 23–4, 26, 27, 30,  
 31, 45, 56, 134  
 Morse, Samuel 20  
 Murdock, George P. 73–4, 107  
 Murphy, Robert 101, 154  
 Murphy, Yolanda 154  
 Musée de l’Homme 74  
 Museum für Völkerkunde 28, 35  
 myth 75, 115–16, 123, 143, 191  
 Lévi-Strauss’ interest in 130, 132–3  
 Nadel, Siegfried 92  
 Napoleonic Wars (1800s) 12, 19  
 national character 16, 78–9, 94, 99  
 nationalism 16–17, 18–19, 63, 67, 79  
 studies 79, 109, 124, 137, 157, 182,  
 190–1, 214  
 Native Americans 6, 7, 23–4, 49, 50,  
 59, 78, 176  
 as authentic cultures 86  
 languages of 82  
 myth 133  
 natural sciences 17, 27  
 and anthropology 22, 28, 51, 55,  
 169, 187, 190, 202, 204–5  
 of society 55–8, 70, 107  
*naven* ritual (Iatmul people) 93  
 Ndembu people (Northern Rhodesia)  
 123  
 Needham, Rodney 133–4, 136,  
 167–8  
 neo-evolutionism 73, 74, 83, 98, 167,  
 201  
 and cultural ecology 99–104  
 neocolonialism 149–50  
 network analysis 109, 110, 170  
 New Guinea 98, 128, 136, 170, 216  
 Barth’s work in 168  
 Lévi-Strauss’ work in 143  
 Mead and Bateson’s work in 79, 93,  
 103–4  
*The New Yorker* magazine 210  
 Newton, Isaac 12  
 Nietzsche, Friedrich 8, 43, 44, 47, 78  
 Nimuendajú, Curt 198  
 Nuer people (Sudan) 87, 88–90, 114,  
 115–22, 175  
 Obeyesekere, Gananath 169  
 Okely, Judith 185  
 Orientalism 13, 141, 176–9  
 Ortner, Sherry 154, 158, 159

- 'Others' 2, 4, 6, 8, 11, 171  
 reified 179–80  
 Oxford University 57, 72, 91
- Paris Commune (1870) 20, 25
- Park, Robert E. 83
- Parkin, David 156
- Parsons, Talcott 73, 81, 117, 126–7, 129, 183
- participant observation 52, 79, 152, 200
- particularism 18, 27, 28, 66, 200, 218  
 historical 59–60, 99, 183
- peasant studies 73, 103, 135, 142, 148, 151, 187  
 Chicago school 84–5, 215  
 Steward's 102–3, 215
- Peirano, Mariza 199
- phenomenology 47, 133, 186, 188, 190, 191
- photography 75, 79, 94
- physical anthropology 34, 58, 59, 161
- Pinker, Steven 210
- Plato 3
- Polanyi, Karl 74, 101, 105–6, 136, 203, 213
- political anthropology 58, 114–15, 134, 161
- political economy 141, 147–51, 214
- post-structuralism 141, 168, 171, 174, 205
- postcolonial movement 176, 180
- postcolonial studies 177
- postcolonialism 46, 182
- postmodernism 106, 157, 165, 169, 171–4, 177, 179–84  
 assimilation into mainstream 200  
 and death of the grand narrative 170  
 fringes of movement 184–91  
 precedents and precursors 183–4  
 social constructionists 202
- Powdermaker, Hortense 70, 152
- power 43–4, 119, 139, 160, 170, 173–4, 218  
 control over means of production 142  
 and gender 153, 155, 157  
 and knowledge 173  
 and myth 116  
 power structures 140, 143, 174
- practice theory 158–61
- primitive peoples/primitives 10, 15, 50, 62, 86, 132
- progress 7, 11, 12
- prototype theory 207
- psychic unity of mankind 22, 28, 29, 45, 96, 162, 208
- psychological anthropology 34–5, 74, 77–80, 92, 155, 189
- psychology 28, 35, 77, 80  
 collective 28, 94  
 evolutionary 192, 209–10  
*see also* ethnopsychology
- Puerto Rico 102, 147–8, 149
- Quinn, Naomi 207
- Rabinow, Paul 171, 173, 179, 182
- race/racism 21, 60, 96–7, 109, 162
- Radcliffe-Brown, Alfred Reginald 32, 50–1, 62, 65–6, 90, 208  
 in Chicago 64, 72–3, 80  
 and fieldwork 56–7  
 as founder of British anthropology 47, 48–9, 61, 69  
 influence of Durkheim 32, 64  
 influence of 57–8, 84, 86–7, 88–9  
 institution building 57  
 and kinship 87  
 and Lévi-Strauss 132  
 and natural science of society 55–8, 70, 107  
 retirement 68, 87  
 rivalry with Malinowski 51–2, 54, 57, 66  
 social structure 110  
 structural-functionalism 86, 87  
 training for colonial administrators 71
- Radin, Paul 73
- Ranger, Terence 191
- Rappaport, Roy 101, 103–4, 146, 147, 164
- rationalism 8–9, 10, 17, 177
- rationality debate 82, 122, 126, 135, 152, 175, 183, 206
- Ratzel, Friedrich 36
- re-tribalisation 108–9, 155, 199

- reason 3, 9, 12, 16  
 reciprocity 106, 142  
   generalised 145  
 Redfield, Robert 73, 84–5, 102, 150  
 redistribution 106  
 ‘reflexive turn’ 179, 182, 184  
 reflexivity 118, 137, 157, 171, 180,  
   184–6, 192, 217  
 relativism 2–3, 7, 10, 60, 176, 183  
   postmodern 200  
   *see also* cultural relativism  
 religion 26, 41, 62, 91, 129–30, 156,  
   208  
   sociology of 61, 112, 120  
   and solidarity 5, 41  
   *see also* *The Golden Bough*  
 Renaissance 5  
 representations 171, 180, 181  
   collective 39, 40–1, 55, 206  
   cultural 163, 177, 208, 217  
 Rhodes-Livingstone Institute (RLI) 72,  
   108–10  
 Richards, Audrey 57, 70, 89, 91, 107,  
   110, 113–14, 188  
 Ricoeur, Paul 129, 170  
 rites of passage 61, 123  
 ritual 41, 92, 103, 106, 123–4  
   and context 65  
   copyrighted 217  
   and crisis 111–12  
   as device of domination 143  
   of everyday life 117  
   in healing 188  
   initiation 61, 114, 123, 170  
   and locality production 216  
   performance 125, 160–1, 170, 184  
   and religion 120  
   Tsembaga 103, 147  
   and violence 218  
 Rivers, William H.R. 28–9, 34–5, 36,  
   48, 50  
 Rivet, Paul 51, 74, 76  
 role analysis 117–18  
 Roman Empire, fall of 4  
 Romanticism 15–19, 22, 77, 141  
 Rosaldo, Michelle Z. 153–4  
 Rosaldo, Renato 179  
 Rouch, Jean 75–6  
 Rousseau, Jean-Jacques 14–15, 17, 20,  
   26  
 Russian anthropology 31–2, 37–8, 84,  
   194, 195, 197, 199  
 Sahlin, Marshall 101, 103, 167  
   and economic anthropology 106,  
   142, 145–6  
   identity politics in Melanesia 218  
   on sociobiology 162–3  
   and structuralism 167  
 Said, Edward 141, 176–7, 178  
 Saint-Simon, Henri de 20  
 Salter, Frank 209  
 Samoa 79–80, 163–4  
 Sangren, Steven 182  
 Sapir, Edward 29, 60, 70, 73, 82–3,  
   126  
 Sapir-Whorf hypothesis 82–3, 206,  
   207  
 Sartre, Jean-Paul 130, 132  
 Saussure, Ferdinand de 47, 131  
 Schapera, Isaac 57, 89, 91, 107, 142  
 schema theory 207  
 Scheper-Hughes, Nancy 189  
 Schlee, Günther 213  
 Schlegel, Friedrich von 27, 35  
 Schleiermacher, Friedrich 27, 129  
 Schmidt, Wilhelm 36  
 Schneider, David M. 82, 120, 127–9,  
   136, 167, 183  
 Schütz, Alfred 129  
 science  
   secularisation 7, 11  
   *see also* anthropology, as scientific  
   discipline; natural sciences; social  
   science  
 Scott, James C. 218  
 Second World War  
   end of 96  
   impact on anthropology 68–9, 74,  
   76, 115  
 segmentary lineages 128, 131  
 Seligman, Brenda 34  
 Seligman, Charles G. 34, 50, 57, 72,  
   87, 89, 91  
 semiotics 9, 47, 131, 196  
 Service, Elman 101  
 shamanism 199  
 Shan people (Burma) 115  
 Shore, Bradd 207  
 Simmel, Georg 38, 110, 170, 202

- situational analysis 109, 111  
 slavery 2, 21  
 Smith, Michael G. 155, 217  
 social anthropology 50, 53, 67, 112, 121  
     British 34, 48–9, 54, 57, 58, 61, 69, 71, 72, 89, 100  
     expansion of 57–8, 194–5  
     and modernism 175, 191  
     and problem of women 153  
 social contract 14–15  
 social development 14, 25–6  
     stages of 12–13, 22, 106  
 social drama 123  
 social evolution 24, 25–6, 150  
 social integration 40, 41, 62, 112, 123, 134, 170, 214  
 social interaction 56, 58, 105, 117  
 social organisation 40, 80, 120, 161  
     and social structure 112–13, 117  
     and study of meaning 128, 131  
     *see also* division of labour; kinship; social structure  
 social structure 54, 55–6, 112–15, 116, 127, 184  
     and beliefs and symbols 121  
     and change 110  
     definition f 89  
     positivist 90  
     and social organisation 112–13, 117  
     *see also* classification; kinship; social organisation  
 society 11–15, 17, 49–50, 70, 129, 148  
     contract- and status-based 29–30, 45  
     and culture 58, 98–9, 104, 217  
     Durkheimian concept of 100, 112, 116  
     and ecosystem 103  
     and globalisation 216  
     and individual 11, 14–15, 44, 54, 113  
     as juridical system 32  
     natural science of 55–8, 107  
     as product of exchange 15  
     as social organism 40  
     societal types 40  
     as system 65, 102–3, 118  
     *see also* kinship; social structure; solidarity  
 sociobiology 139, 161–5, 192, 209  
 sociology 21–3, 31  
     and anthropology 21–3, 33, 47, 85, 127, 141–4, 149  
     classical 38  
     new 38–9  
     of religion 61, 112, 120  
     *see also* Marx, Karl; Marxism  
 Socrates 3  
 Sokal, Alan 205  
 solidarity 5, 40–1  
     and kinship 5, 163, 209  
     and religion 5, 41  
 Sophists 3  
 Soros, George 197, 213  
 Southall, Aidan 110  
*Soviet Anthropology and Archaeology* (journal) 197  
 Soviet Union, breakup of 196–7  
 Spencer, Jonathan 144, 182  
 Sperber, Dan 207  
 Spivak, Gayatri Chakravorty 177  
 Srinivas, M.N. 58  
 Stalin, Joseph 68, 84, 197  
 Sternberg, Lev Yacovlevich 37  
 Steward, Julian 74, 98, 99–100, 101–3, 127, 215  
     influence of 129, 135, 145, 147  
     Marx's influence o 139, 145  
     peasant studies 102–3, 215  
     Puerto Rico project 149  
 Stocking, George W. 31, 71  
 Strabo 4  
 Strathern, Marilyn 170, 185–6, 190, 216  
 structural Marxism 141–5, 147, 151, 170, 216  
 structural-functionalism 54, 55–6, 74, 86–7, 88, 90, 107–8, 112, 114  
     and descent theory 134  
     Douglas' defence of 167  
     and lineage theory 131  
     rejection of 98, 105, 113, 116, 118, 119, 121  
     revitalisation of 135  
 structuralism 98, 142, 143, 167, 168  
     Lévi-Strauss and 120, 130–4, 136, 206  
 structure 57, 159

- STS (studies in technology and science) 204–5
- substantivism 104–5, 106, 135, 157, 203
- Sudan 34, 68, 72, 87, 88
- superstructure 102, 140  
*see also* infrastructure–  
 superstructure relationship
- surrealist movement 62, 76
- symbolic anthropology 103, 120  
 and ethnoscience 125–7  
 Geertz and Schneider 127–30, 167
- symbolic culture 71, 85, 120, 145, 160
- symbolic ‘images’ 40–1
- symbolic interactionism 85
- symbolic meaning 58, 98, 119, 123, 126, 134
- symbolic systems 207, 216
- symbols/symbolism 120–37, 191  
 ethnic symbols 156  
*see also* meaning
- system theory 103, 118, 120, 204, 206  
*see also* cybernetics
- tabula rasa* 9, 206
- Tallensi people (Gold Coast) 87, 89
- Tax, Sol 73
- technological determinism 100–1, 139
- technology 36, 100–2, 162  
 hunters and gatherers 24  
 new 12, 31, 46, 172
- telegraph 20
- Thiong’o, Ngũgĩ wa 176
- Third World 136  
 developmental aid 187  
 education systems 212  
 exploitation 149–50
- Thomas, William I. 83, 84
- Tierney, Patrick 210–11
- Tikopians 91
- Tocqueville, Alexis de 23
- Todorov, Tzvetan 7
- Tönnies, Ferdinand 32, 38, 40
- Tooby, John 209
- Torres expedition (1898) 34–5, 50, 52, 87
- totemism 132
- transactionalism 135
- transactions 116
- translation, intercultural 62, 76, 122, 185, 215
- travel 5, 27–8  
 early travelogues 6–7  
 exploration 5, 6
- Trobriand Islands  
 Malinowski’s work on 50, 52–4, 70, 87, 109, 152  
 Weiner’s re-study of 154
- Tsembaga Maring people (New Guinea) 103–4, 147
- Tsing, Anna 214
- Turner, Victor 61, 112, 123–4, 136  
 multivocality of symbols 124, 156  
 and ritual performance 125, 160–1, 169, 170, 184, 188
- Tyler, Stephen 179
- Tylor, Edward Burnett 29, 30–1, 33, 58, 67  
 definition of culture 31, 45, 58, 99, 126
- Ulin, Robert 185
- underdevelopment 84, 119, 145, 148, 151
- UNESCO 96–7, 187
- Universal Declaration of Human Rights 97, 178
- universalism 16, 207–8, 209  
 and the economy 105  
 of evolutionism 27  
 and human rights 97  
 versus relativism 2–3, 9, 10, 140
- urban microsociology 196
- urbanisation 24, 108–9, 156, 215  
 and re-tribalisation 108–9
- Vakhtin, Nikolai B. 197
- value theories 26–7, 158, 170
- Vayda, Andrew 101, 146
- Velsen, Jaap van 109
- Verdery, Katherine 169–70, 213
- Vespucci, Amerigo 6, 7
- Vico, Giambattista 12–13, 17, 22
- Vietnam War 140
- Viveiros de Castro, Eduardo 199
- Völkergedanken* 28, 207
- Voltaire 14, 16, 173

- Wagner, Roy 170, 186  
 Wallerstein, Immanuel 148, 150  
 Watt, Ian 121  
 Wax, Rosalie 152  
 Weber, Max 17, 38, 41–5, 67, 120, 129  
 Weiner, Annette 154, 164  
 White, Leslie A. 73, 100–2, 103, 139  
 Whorf, Benjamin Lee 82, 126  
   *see also* Sapir-Whorf hypothesis  
 Wiener, Norbert 118  
 Wierzbicka, Anna 207  
 Wilson, Bryan 152  
 Wilson, Edward O. 30, 161–2, 163, 210  
 Wilson, Godfrey 72, 108, 109–10, 140  
 Wilson, Monica 142  
 Winch, Peter 122, 175  
 witchcraft 33, 88, 89, 120, 122, 175, 218  
 Wittgenstein, Ludwig 33, 172  
 Wolf, Eric 101, 167, 178–9, 214  
   and globalisation studies 150, 218  
   Marxist anthropology 139, 147–8  
 Woolgar, Steve 204, 205  
 World Bank 187  
 World Health Organisation 187  
 World Mental Health Project 189  
 world-system theory 148, 150  
 Worsley, Peter 109, 136, 139, 149, 150, 214  
*Writing Culture* (Clifford and Marcus-1986) 180–1, 185  
 Wundt, Wilhelm 28–9, 34, 39, 49–50  
   ethnopsychology 28, 55, 77, 206  
 Yanomami people (Brazil and Venezuela) 210  
 Znaniecki, Florian W. 84, 196  
 Zulu people 111  
 Zuni (Native American tribe) 78